

A NEW METHOD FOR DETERMINATION OF FREE SURFACE ENERGY AND ADHESION FORCES. HIGHLY DISPERSED OXIDES, MESOPOROUS AND MICROPOROUS MATERIALS

V.V. Turov¹, V.M. Gun'ko¹, R. Leboda,² and V.V. Brei¹

¹*Institute of Surface Chemistry, National Academy of Sciences,
17 Gen. Naumov str., 03680 Kyiv, UKRAINE*

²*Faculty of Chemistry, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, 20031 Lublin, POLAND*

Abstract

A new method of determination of the Gibbs free surface energy and the radial dependence of adhesion forces of adsorbents in respect to the interfacial water was developed on the basis of measurements of the dependence of ¹H NMR signal intensity of unfrozen water on temperature at T < 273K. It was considered features of application of this method to highly disperse oxides, modified oxides, microporous and mesoporous adsorbents. The free surface energy values are computed for a variety of oxide and carbon-mineral materials.

Introduction

NMR spectroscopy method is widely used to study the structure of oxide lattices. A significant portion of the results has been obtained utilizing the solid-state spectroscopy of high resolution with magic-angle spinning and cross-polarization (CP-MAS) or combination of the rotation with the multi-pulse spectroscopy (CRAMS). Three NMR signals of ²⁹Si can be observed for different silicas (fumed silica, silica gel, etc.), which are linked to (a) Si atoms fourfold O-coordinated in the siloxane bonds ($\equiv\text{Si-O}$)₄Si ($\delta = -108$ -110 ppm), (b) ($\equiv\text{Si-O-Si}$)₃SiOH ($\delta = -99$ -100 ppm) and (c) ($\equiv\text{Si-O-Si}$)₂Si(OH)₂ groups ($\delta = -89$ -91 ppm) [1-3]. ¹H CRAMS measurements show that vicinal silanols can form the hydrogen bonds themselves or with adsorbed water molecules [2]. A similar conclusion was made on the basis of the broad line ¹H NMR spectra at 4 K [4]. Analogous results were obtained for colloidal solutions of alumina using ²⁷Al MAS NMR showing different surroundings of Al atoms [5,6].

One of the first methods to explore interaction between adsorbed molecules and solid surfaces was based on the measurements of the temperature dependencies of longitudinal and cross-relaxation of nuclear spins of adsorbed molecules [7]. The mobility of adsorbed molecules decreases with strengthening adsorption interactions, which results in a reduction of the relaxation time. Intermolecular (as well as adsorption) interactions can be described more entirely using the self-diffusion coefficient, which can be measured with pulse-gradient of the magnetic field [8-11]. These measurements demonstrate that the interaction between adsorbate and adsorbent is propagated through a relatively thick layer of compound adsorbed on microporous and layered matters, which can reach 2-3 nm or larger [9,12]. In the aqueous suspensions of oxides, interaction of water with the solid surfaces can be detected even at 100 nm distance due to long-range component of intermolecular interaction [13], formation of the electrical double layer [14-16], and electrostatic and polarization effects [17,18].

One of the main parameters characterizing interaction between solids and liquids is the Gibbs free surface energy (γ_s), which equals to change in the Gibbs free energy with increasing surface area by unit. Notice that the corresponding exact measurements are very

difficult. One of well-known methods to determine the surface energy of solids is a method of contact angles [19-23]. It is assumed in this method that the free surface energy equals to the sum of two terms, and the first of them is conditioned by Lifshits-van-der-Waals forces, and the second is related to acid-base interactions

$$\gamma_s = \gamma_s^{lw} + \gamma_s^{ab} \quad (1)$$

The acid-base term consists of electron-acceptor (γ^+) and electron-donor (γ^-) components

$$\gamma_s^{ab} = 2(\gamma_s^+ \gamma_s^-)^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

Then the contact angle value for the system with vapor (V), liquid (L) and solids (S) can be determined as follows

$$\cos \theta = -1 + 2[(\gamma_s^{LV} \gamma_L^{LV})^{1/2} / \gamma_{LV}] + 2[(\gamma_s^+ \gamma_L^-)^{1/2} / \gamma_{LV}] + 2[(\gamma_s^- \gamma_L^+)^{1/2} / \gamma_{LV}] \quad (3)$$

Components of the free surface energy can be estimated on the basis of the measurements of the contact angle for liquids with different types of inter-molecular interactions. Another method to determine these components is Inverse Gas Chromatography (IGC) [24-26]. In the last method, a dispersion component of the surface energy can be evaluated from an adsorption energy portion per CH_2 group for series of aliphatics. For estimation of a polar component, the adsorption of molecules possessing given electron-donor properties can be applied.

In liquid water, the free surface energy γ_s equals to changes in the Gibbs free energy of solids/water due to the existence of the interface. While the bound water layer has a significant thickness this parameter is integral

$$\gamma_s = \int_0^{\infty} \Delta G dx \quad (4)$$

where x is the thickness of the bound water layer, ΔG is the change in the Gibbs free energy.

II. Characteristics of the bound water layers determined using ^1H NMR

A method of measurements of the ^1H NMR signal intensity of adsorbed water dependent on temperature at $T < 273$ K is useful and informative to study the characteristics of the interfacial water. The interfacial water near the oxide surfaces can be frozen at $T < 273$ K due to the impact of solids resulting in a reduction in the free energy of this water. The thickness of unfrozen water can be estimated using the ^1H NMR signal intensity measured during freezing-de-freezing processes. The interfacial water is in a quasi-liquid state at $T < 273$ K due to action of the adsorbent surfaces; therefore, a relatively narrow ^1H NMR signal corresponds to this water. The signal of ice is not observed in the ^1H NMR spectra because a large difference in the times of cross-relaxation of protons in liquid and frozen waters.

Dependence of the unfrozen water layer thickness (i.e., the intensity I of the ^1H NMR signal) on temperature of aqueous suspensions or hydrated powders of different adsorbents was studied by many authors [27-38]. It was shown that this thickness depends on the hydrophilic properties of adsorbent surfaces; for instance, it decreases in such series as silica gel > kaolinite > Teflon [34]. There is a $I(T)$ hysteresis loop on freezing-de-freezing [29,37], which can appear due to overcooling of water on freezing [29]. Therefore, the $I(T)$ functions obtained on de-freezing of samples after freezing up to T lower than a minimal temperature

of water overcooling are more appropriate to determine the characteristics of the interfacial water. A method to measure the Gibbs free energy of adsorbents using the dependence of the signal of unfrozen water on temperature is based on the fact that the freezing temperature of the interfacial water is reduced by the value dependent on the surface energy of adsorbent and the distance between molecules and solid surfaces [38-41]. Condition of water freezing at the interface is the equality of the free energies of water and ice, and the closer the adsorbed molecules to the surfaces, the lower the temperature of water freezing.




Fig. 1. ^1H NMR signal of unfrozen water in aqueous suspension of fumed silica (specific surface area $S \approx 300 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$), previously pressed at 1.14×10^5 Torr and ball-milled (a) and the graph of the intensity of ^1H NMR signal versus the amount of water (C_a) added to the powder (b).

Let us consider the application of this method to the aqueous suspension of fumed silica (specific surface area $S \approx 300 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$), previously pressed at 1.14×10^5 Torr and ball-milled. Fig. 1a shows the ^1H NMR signal of the unfrozen water in such a suspension at different temperatures. The observed signal is linked to mobile water molecules subjected to the impact of the silica surfaces, as OH groups of the oxide surfaces and ice do not contribute due to a short time ($< 10^{-6} \text{ s}$) of cross-relaxation of protons in solids. This signal is individual one with the chemical shift $\approx 4 \text{ ppm}$ (in respect to TMS). Its intensity decreases but half-width increases with lowering temperature in consequence of a reduction of the molecular mobility. The concentration of unfrozen water (C_{uw}) can be estimated using this signal intensity comparing with the signal intensity of a given amount of water adsorbed on this oxide from the gas phase. For simplicity, one can apply test adsorption of water from air at temperature when this water is unfrozen using a sample hydrated at relative moisture of 70 % and room temperature. To determine the concentration of water adsorbed on this sample (C_x), one can utilize the graph of the intensity of ^1H NMR signal versus the amount of water (C_a) added to the powder using a metering micropump (Fig. 1b). The point of intersection of $I(C_x - C_a)$ with the X-axis corresponds to the initial concentration of adsorbed water. The

thickness (d) of unfrozen water (as the number of the statistical monolayers) can be computed from the concentration of adsorbed water (C_{uw}) and the specific surface area of adsorbent

$$d = 3.1 C_{uw}/S \quad (5)$$

Fig. 2a shows the graph of the intensity of the unfrozen water signal versus temperature. Changes in the Gibbs free energy ΔG linked to lowering temperature of water freezing can be easily computed, as the thermodynamic functions of ice are known over a wide temperature range [42]. The relationship between ΔG and T can be written as follows

$$\Delta G = 0.036(273 - T) \quad (6)$$

The $I(T)$ graph for the unfrozen water can be easily transformed to the dependence of ΔG for adsorbed water on the distance of the boundary between frozen and unfrozen waters to the adsorbent surface (Fig. 2b).




Fig. 2. Dependence of the intensity of unfrozen water signal versus temperature (a) and a calculated dependence of variation in free energy of water at the silica/water interface as a function of distance toward surface (b) in Aerosil A_p aqueous suspension.

Sometimes an increase in the intensity of the NMR signal with lowering temperature due to enhancement of nuclear level population (Curie rule [43]) should be considered. There is the relationship between the nuclear magnetization (M) of the system with N spins with the spin value s and the gyromagnetic ration g

$$M = KNg^2h^2I(s + 1)B_0/3kT \quad (7)$$

where B_0 is the magnetic field intensity, K is a constant dependent on NMR measurement conditions. Notice that for thick water layers adsorbed on the surfaces of dispersed oxides, the choice of measurement conditions allows one to obtain the NMR signals of water (with no freezing) constant over a wide range of the temperature, i.e., the Curie rule can be ignored.

The capillary effects in the aqueous suspensions of fumed oxides with nonporous primary particles are practically absent and $\Delta G(C_{uw})$ determines changes in the Gibbs free energy of the interfacial water as a radial function of the unfrozen water layer thickness around spherical primary particles as C_{uw} is proportional to the layer thickness x . The value of the free surface energy of adsorbents in the aqueous suspensions can be determined using the $\Delta G(C_{uw})$ function and Eq. (4) can be re-written as follows

$$\gamma_s = K_I \int_0^{C_{uw}^{max}} \Delta G(C_{uw}) dC_{uw} \quad (8)$$

where K_I is a scale coefficient, C_{uw}^{max} is the thickness of the unfrozen water layer extrapolated with $\Delta G(C_{uw})$ at $\Delta G \rightarrow 0$ (if ΔG is in kJ/mol and γ_s in mJ/m² that $K_I = 55.6/S$, where S is the specific surface area of adsorbent). Two portions of the ΔG graph can be separated (Fig. 2b). The first portion corresponds to a large reduction in the unfrozen water layer over a narrow ΔG range (near 273 K) and the second one is linked to small changes in the thickness over a broad ΔG range. The first type of the interfacial water can be assigned as weakly bound water (thickness d_w) due to a long-range component of the surface forces. The second type corresponds to a greater change in the free energy (ΔG_s) over a short distance (d_s) from the surface, i.e., this is strongly bound water. The thickness of these layers (d_s and d_w for strongly and weakly bound waters) and overall changes in the free energy for them (ΔG_s and ΔG_w) can be estimated using extrapolation of the plots to the axes. In the case of a nearly linear shape of these $\Delta G(d)$ graph portions, the free surface energy at the interface of oxide/water/ice can be determined using a simple equation

$$\gamma_s = K_I(\Delta G_s d_s + \Delta G_w d_w)/2 \quad (9)$$

This value can be computed as a sum of ΔG for all monolayers in the interfacial water

$$\gamma_s = \sum_i \Delta G_i d_i \quad (10)$$

where ΔG_i is the average value for the i monolayer and $1 < i < d_E = d_s + d_w$. The ΔG_1 value corresponds to changes in the free energy of the first monolayer. The overall ΔG_{max} value can be estimated using the $\Delta G(d)$ graph at $d \rightarrow 0$.

III. Relationship between the free surface energy γ_s and other thermodynamic parameters

Immersion heat. The differential immersion heat λ is the heat evolved due to addition of an infinite amount of liquid onto the surface at a given coverage x [44]. The λ value as well as ΔG characterizes the surface force field. The value $\lambda = 0$ corresponds to full compensation of the surface energy by the energy of adsorbate interaction with the surface. The λ value as well as the differential Gibbs free energy ΔG for different layers decreases farther and farther away from the surface. The differential Gibbs free energy equals to the differential work of adhesion

$$\Delta G = -W_a \quad (11)$$

The Gibbs free energy increases with increasing distance from the surface and this increment equals to a reduction of the surface Gibbs free energy of immersion. The change in the Gibbs free energy of liquid is linked with the thermodynamic activity (a_x)

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln a_x \quad (12)$$

According to the Gibbs-Helmholtz equation, the differential heat of immersion can be written as follows

$$\lambda = \partial \Delta H / \partial x = \Delta G - T(\partial \Delta G / \partial T) \quad (13)$$

At the same time, the derivative of $\Delta G/T$ with respect to temperature is

$$\frac{\partial(\Delta G/T)}{\partial T} = \frac{-\Delta G + T(\frac{\partial \Delta G}{\partial T})}{T^2} \quad (14)$$

From Eqs. (13) and (14), one can obtain

$$\lambda = -T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{\Delta G}{T} = RT^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln a_x \right)_x \quad (15)$$

The thermodynamic activity of liquid at a given coverage of the surface is

$$a_x = p_x/p_s \quad (16)$$

where p_x is the pressure of saturated vapor over the interface liquid at coverage x , p_s is the pressure of saturated vapor over the pristine liquid. Using Eq. (13) one can obtain

$$\lambda = RT^2 (\partial \ln p_x / \partial T)_x - RT^2 (\partial \ln p_s / \partial T) \quad (17)$$

where the first term in the right part is the liquefaction heat Q_x of the vapor on the surface of the liquid, the second one is the liquefaction heat (Q_0) under standard conditions, then

$$\lambda = Q_x - Q_0 \quad (18)$$

Consequently, the differential heat of immersion equals to the difference between the liquefaction heats of the water vapor over the adsorbent surface and the bulk water. Thus, there is a similarity between the determination of the immersion heat in Eq. (18) and ΔG described above. Both the immersion heat and differential free energy are determined by the difference in the thermodynamic functions for the phase transfer to free and interfacial liquids. Since the free energy and enthalpy are linked by equation

$$\Delta G = \lambda - T\Delta S \quad (19)$$

which can be re-written for overall values of the free surface energy and summary heat of immersion (λ_i) with consideration of changes in the thermodynamic functions over the total thickness of the bound water layer

$$\gamma_s = \lambda_i - \int_x T \Delta S(x) dx \quad (20)$$

and

$$\lambda_i = \int_x \lambda dx \quad (21)$$

The dependence of the heat effect on temperature can contribute Eq. (20). The overall value of the immersion heat is determined at a fixed temperature; however, the measurement of the free surface energy by means of freezing-out of the bulk of water is performed over a broad temperature range. Therefore Eqs. (19) and (20) can be re-written

$$\Delta G = \lambda(x, T) - T dS \quad (22)$$

$$\gamma_s = \int_T \int_x \lambda(x, T) dx dT - \int_T \int_x T dS(x, T) dx dT \quad (23)$$

In the literature, there are not the data related to the dependence of the free surface energy of silica on temperature. However, similar investigations performed by means of inverse gas chromatography (IGC) for graphite [24] show that a dispersion component of γ_s decreases from 140 mJ/m² to 125 mJ/m² with elevating temperature from 300 K to 360 K. One can assume that similar small changes in γ_s can occur in the case of dispersed oxides. Then non-isothermicity of freezing-out of the bulk water under the ¹H NMR measurements does not give large errors in respect to γ_s , which can be compared with the free surface energy determined using other methods.

Disjoining pressure and surface forces

One of frequently used characteristics of the surface forces is the disjoining pressure, which is the difference between the pressure P_l on the liquid layer located between two solid surfaces and the pressure P_0 in the bulk of liquid [13]

$$\Pi(x) = P_l - P_0 \quad (24)$$

where x is the thickness of the liquid layer. Besides, the disjoining pressure equals to changes in the Gibbs free energy over the unit distance to the surface

$$\Pi(x) = -(dG/dx)_{TP\mu} \quad (25)$$

Typically the disjoining pressure is measured using direct methods to determine the force needed for closing two plates in liquid media and these methods are described in detail elsewhere [13,14]. Formally, the disjoining pressure value can be estimated from the data shown in Fig. 2b. However, Eq. (25) corresponds to the determination of the Π value at a constant temperature. Therefore for isobaric freezing-out of the bulk liquid, one can use an effective value of surface forces, which can be calculated using the relationship

$$F = (\Delta G m)/x \quad (26)$$

where m is the mass of unfrozen water in moles, x is the distance to the surface. If the ΔG value is in kJ/mol, C_{uw} in mg/g, x in nm, then

$$F = \frac{\Delta G C_{uw} \times 10^9}{18x} \quad (\text{N/g}) \quad (27)$$

The derivative value of ΔG with respect to the thickness of the bound water layer (x) or the concentration of unfrozen water

$$\theta = d(\Delta G)/dx; \quad \theta = d(\Delta G)/d(C_{uw}) \quad (28)$$




Fig. 3. Radial dependencies of adhesion forces (a) and $\theta(x)$ value (b) for Aerosil Ap aqueous suspension.

Maxima of this dependence determine the characteristic distances to the surface (or concentration of bound water), for which changes in the type of surface forces are observed. For fumed silica pressed at $P = 150$ bar, the dependences $\theta = f(x)$ and $F = f(x)$ are shown in Fig. 3, where F is in HN per gram of adsorbent and shows the interaction force between liquid water and gram of silica. This value divided by the specific surface area corresponds to the adhesion force per unit of the surface area. In the case of direct measurements, the force between two plates in liquid water is estimated [45-49], but in our measurements, the adhesion force value is computed as the interaction force between the solid surface and liquid medium. These forces are the main characteristic of many parameters studied for composites and filled matters. One can separate long-range and short-range components in the adhesion forces for the aqueous suspension of fumed silica (Fig. 3). This suggests the possibility to introduce the determination of strongly and weakly bound waters. Change in the type of the surface forces occurs at mean distance to the surface of approximately 1 nm.

IV. Highly Disperse Oxides

IV.1. Influence of the adsorbent pretreatment on adhesion forces

The samples of fumed silica A-300 (Pilot Plant of the Institute of Surface Chemistry, Kalush, Ukraine, the specific surface area $S \approx 300$ m²/g, apparent density $C_b \approx 40$ mg/ml)

were studied. They were as follows samples: non-treated (A_{nt}), preheated up to 520 K for 3 h (A_h), previously wetted with water (A_w) or hexane (supplied by Aldrich) (A_{hex}) followed by drying at 293 K ($C_b = 90$ mg/ml) as well as the sample previously subjected to procedure of pressing (15 MPa, $C_b = 250$ mg/ml), (A_p) [50,51].

Changes in the 1H NMR spectra as functions of the temperature and the silica concentration for the frozen suspension of A_{nt} are given in Fig. 4a and 4b, respectively. The spectrum of water adsorbed on A_p (Fig. 1a) has a single signal, whose width increases while the temperature goes down due to a decline in the molecular mobility of adsorbed water and the intensity falls as the thickness of region aqueous close to the silica surface decreases through freezing. The same type of temperature dependent changes is observed in the spectra registered for A_w and A_{hex} . The signal of adsorbed water for A_h and A_{nt} is observed on the background of a considerably wider signal having a shape different from the Gaussian one (because of their similarity only the spectra for water on A_h are given). The intensity of a broad component quickly falls as a function of decrease in temperature, and it is not observed in the spectra at $T < 255$ K (Fig. 4). It has been shown earlier [52,53] that the broad component of the signal for water diminishes with decrease in the distance between the silica particles. It has been suggested that it is due to the formation of amorphous modification of ice near the surface.




Fig. 4. Dependencies of 1H NMR spectra of water in frozen aqueous suspensions of Aerosil A_{nt} from temperature (a) and solid concentration (b): 1 - $A_{nt} = 1$ % w/w; 2 - 2 % w/w; 3 - 6 % w/w; 4 - 20 % w/w.




Fig. 5. Effect of the pretreatment procedure of Aerosil surface on a shape of radial function of variation in free energy of adsorbed water.

Figs 5 and 6 show the $\Delta G(d)$ and $F(x)$ graphs for silicas differently pre-treated. These graphs reflect the type of the radial functions relating to changes in the free energy of water in the adsorption layer. Two segments may be revealed on the dependencies obtained: the segment of a quick decrease in the thickness of the unfrozen water layer in a narrow range of the ΔG value changes (temperature is about 273 K) and the one, where d decreases relatively weakly in a broad range of changes in the ΔG values. As was shown in section III, there are weakly and strongly bonded waters respectively. The total value of the free energy of the adsorbed water using linear dependences $\Delta G_s(d)$ and $\Delta G_w(d)$ may be defined according to Eq. (8). The ΔG values calculated using data shown in Fig. 6 are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Influence of silica pretreatment on the characteristics of the interfacial water

Material	ΔG_s kJ/mol	G_w kJ/mol	d_s	d_w	γ_s mJ/m ²
A_{nt}	3.4	-	5	-	-
A_{hex}	3.5	0.35	4.5	17	195
A_p	3.8	0.35	3.2	13	160
A_h	3.3	-	4	-	-
A_t	2.9	-	2.7	-	-
A_w	5.3	0.5	8	35	540

Note. Unfrozen water layer thickness d is shown in statistical monolayers.

The data given in Table 1 show that the close values of ΔG_s and the thickness of the strongly bound water layer have been obtained for all the adsorbents with the exception of A_w . For weakly bound water, the thickness of the layer of structurally ordered liquid is minimal for A_p and maximal for A_w . For A_{nt} and A_h samples, the d_s , ΔG_w and γ_s parameters have not been determined taking into account superposition of the narrow and wide components of the signals (Fig. 5). The wide component of the bonded water signal disappears as a function of rise in the bulk density of silica. However, the maximal thickness of the water layer structurally ordered by the surface is observed on slight compaction of the material. Thus, for practically equal values of C_b for A_w and A_{hex} , the thickness of the bound water layer varies more than twice. The dependencies obtained may be explained by the fact that the characteristics of the water layers structurally ordered by the surface depend on

interparticle interactions. Fumed silica particles in the aqueous suspensions are present in the form of aggregates (100-500 nm) and agglomerates ($> 1 \mu\text{m}$) [54]. These swarms are not stable and even exposure to negligible external factors (e.g. shaking of suspensions) gives rise to dispersion as well to amalgamation of particles. The amorphous form of frozen water is likely to be formed only at the appropriate distances between the particles and favorable dimensions of the secondary particles of the adsorbent. Therefore, the influence of the adsorbent pretreatment on its adsorption characteristics should be taken into account while studying adsorption of biopolymers on dispersed materials. By means of modifying the




Fig 6. Effect of pretreatment on the radial function of adhesion forces for water suspensions of Aerosil.

pretreatment conditions for the adsorbent one may vary its adsorption capacity in a wide range of values. This will have an effect on the adsorption value of biological macromolecules. The shape of radial dependence of the adhesion forces shown in Fig. 6 for fumed silica in the aqueous medium differs significantly from similar dependences measured directly as forces between two crossed cylinders [55-57]. Clearly from these results (Fig. 6), the adhesion force value decreases practically linearly with the distance (not far from the surface). At the same time, the interaction force between two cylinders changes in the sign at a short distance between them due to too much decrease in the liquid layer thickness and can be described as follows $F = x^{-n}$. When x is smaller than the adsorbed layer the work is made against the adsorption forces; and the structure of this layer is destroyed. In the experiments on freezing-out of the bulk phase, the adhesion force $F = dG/dx$ can be determined as $\Delta G/x$ (where x is the distance between the surface and the outer boundary of the unfrozen water layer). While the energy of interaction between the oxide surface and adsorbed water molecules typically increases with decreasing number of molecules in the interfacial layer, change in the sign of F is not observed. One can assume that such a method (however, as an indirect one) to estimate the work of forces of adhesion and $F(x)$ is more adequate than that based on direct measurements between two cylinders, as a cylinder can change the structure of the interfacial layer near the surface of second one producing additional errors in the measurements.

IV.2. Modified silica and mixed oxides

Mixed oxides are promised materials to utilize them as catalysts, polymer fillers, and adsorbents possessing surface Brønsted and Lewis acid sites. Besides this, disperse particles of dust pollutants of industrial and natural origins are mostly the mixture of porous and non-porous oxides SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , TiO_2 , etc., containing carbon deposits on the surface, or chemisorbed molecules of organic compounds. In atmosphere, these particles serve as nuclei of condensation or crystallization for water vapor.




Fig. 7. Dependence in changes of adsorption energy for pyrogenic mixed oxides from unfrozen water concentration

In Fig. 7, there are shown the dependencies of changes in the free energy versus the concentration of unfrozen water. The characteristics of the layers of water adsorbed on the surface of the studied samples and the free surface energy values calculated using Eq. 8 are represented in Table 2.

It follows from Table 2 that for alumina-silica we have average value of the surface free energy between values corresponding individual oxides. For non-porous materials with a low surface concentration of alternate compounds (pyrocarbon on SiO_2 ; $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ on TiO_2), we have a maximal value of the surface free energy. The most probable explanation of this fact is the polarization of the adsorbent surface caused by charge exchange between different phases. As was shown by Dukhin [18], upon the appearance of differently charged areas on the surface of disperse particles, there is a long-range component of the surface forces, which caused by the electrostatic field directed along the surface of particles. In this field, the dipoles of water molecules are under orientation effect. Remoteness of this type of

Table 2. Characteristics of interfacial water on disperse oxides and pyrocarbon-silica

Adsorbent	d_s^*	d_w	γ_s	Ref.
Methyl silica	8	15	220	[58]
Al ₂ O ₃	13.5	-	513	[59]
SiO ₂ + 1.3% Al ₂ O ₃	10	-	234	[59]
SiO ₂ + 3% Al ₂ O ₃	12.5	-	455	[59]
SiO ₂ + 23% Al ₂ O ₃	5.2	-	248	[59]
SiO ₂ + 0.5% C	25	20	920	[60]
SiO ₂ + 40% C	5	5	284	[60]
TiO ₂ (rutile)	8	12	341	[61,62]
SiO ₂ + 0.6% TiO ₂	9.5	11.5	449	[61]
SiO ₂ + 1.7% TiO ₂	21.0	40	1097	[61]
SiO ₂ + 5% TiO ₂	11.0	13	428	[61]
SiO ₂ + 17% TiO ₂	4.2	5	222	[61]
SiO ₂ + 33% TiO ₂	7.5	17	421	[61]
SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃ /TiO ₂	20	20	1113	[61,62]

Note. Unfrozen water layer thickness d is shown in statistical monolayers; and γ_s is in mJ m^{-2} .

interaction is determined by the values of charges and the distance between them. This causes an enhancement of the polarization of adsorbent particles and the appearance of the long-range component of the surface forces. In all the cases, only the surface polarization is the main factor, which affects the thickness of the adsorbed water layers. The increase in the carbon content to 40 wt.% reduces changes in the free surface energy of the adsorbent, however, it remains significantly higher than that observed for the parent silica. Additionally, the smaller the patches of the second phase densely grafted on the support, the larger the disorder in the interfacial water, which causes greater reduction in its free energy.

It should be noted that in the case when the γ_s values are governed only by the hydrophilic properties of the surface, one can expect that the values of the free surface energy obtained for the carbosils should be lower than those obtained for the silica. In this connection, in the case of nonporous adsorbents, a dominant role in the formation of the structured interfacial water layers relates to the tendency of the adsorbent surface to undergo polarization in an aqueous medium. For porous adsorbents, the distance between chargers on the surface is limited by the size of pores. So for this type of adsorbents polarization effects are insignificant and interaction with the aqueous medium is governed by the hydrophilic properties of the samples. The correlation between the nanoparticle structure and the characteristics of water layers on the particle surfaces may help in estimation of the distances of dust pollution transfer and in predicting the effect of dust pollution on the precipitation level. Radial dependencies of adhesion forces for some modified and mixed oxides are presented in the Fig. 8.

V. Mesoporous adsorbents

V.1. Impact of hydrothermal treatment on the surface energy and adhesion forces

Silica gel Si-60 (Merck) (specific surface area $S_{BET} = 369 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, total pore volume $V_p = 0.753 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, average pore radius $R_p = 4.1 \text{ nm}$), Si-40 (Merck) ($S_{BET} = 732 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, $V_p = 0.542 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, $R_p = 1.48 \text{ nm}$) and Si-100 (Merck) ($S_{BET} = 332 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, $V_p = 1.153 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, $R_p = 6.95 \text{ nm}$) were chosen as initial materials for hydrothermal treatment (HTT) at $T_{HTT} = 200^\circ\text{C}$ resulting

in samples Si-40-HTT ($S_{BET} = 107 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, $V_p = 0.497 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, $R_p = 9.3 \text{ nm}$); Si-60-HTT ($S_{BET} = 47 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, $V_p = 0.272 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, $R_p = 11.8 \text{ nm}$) and Si-100-HTT ($S_{BET} = 49 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, $V_p = 0.18 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, $R_p = 7.7 \text{ nm}$).




Fig. 8. Radial function of adhesion forces for mixed non-porous oxides.

Structural features of hydrothermally treated silica gels Si-40, Si-60 and Si-100 were elucidated previously [63]. Vertical portions of the $\Delta G(C_{uw})$ graphs (Fig. 9a) are observed for the aqueous suspensions of initial silica gels Si-40 and Si-60 in contrast to non-porous adsorbents, initial Si-100 (Fig. 9a) and all hydrothermally treated samples (Fig. 9b) possessing larger pores. Appearance of this portion of $\Delta G(C_{uw})$ is due to unfrozen interfacial water in narrow pores with lowering temperature until T corresponding to a maximal ΔG value for this plot portion. On the other words, lowering T over some interval is not accompanied by water freezing, as this water is under strong action of the silica surfaces in narrow pores, when electrostatic fields of the opposite pore walls strongly overlap [64].

The concentration of strongly bound water C_{uw}^S decreases with increasing pore size both for initial and hydrothermally treated silica gels (Table 3). The concentration of weakly bound water C_{uw}^w is larger for the HTT samples due to the enhancement of the pore size; however, the relationships between the C_{uw}^w values for different silica gels (initial and HTT) are more complex than those for C_{uw}^S (Table 3). Notice that the volume of unfrozen water ($C_{uw}^w + C_{uw}^S$) for HTT-200 samples is larger than their pore volume, maybe due to formation of thick water layers on the outer surfaces of treated samples. Also, filling of large pores by nitrogen could be incomplete at $p/p_0 \approx 0.98$ (used to estimate V_p) in contrast to filling by water in the aqueous suspensions.




Fig. 9. Changes in the Gibbs free energy of the interfacial water in the aqueous suspensions of initial (a) and hydrothermally treated (b) Si-40, Si-60, and Si-100; and corresponding adhesion forces (c, d) and derivatives $d(\Delta G)/dC_{ufw}$ as function of the distance X (in Å) from the silica surface.

Table 3. Parameters of Bound Water Layer for Aqueous Suspensions of Silica Gels, Initial and After HTT at 200°C

Sample	ΔG^s kJ/mol	ΔG^w kJ/mol	C_w^s mg/g	C_w^w mg/g	γ_s mJ/m ²
Si-40	3.2	-	570	-	92
Si-60	3.5	1.5	540	210	172
Si-100	3.25	0.8	500	250	87
S-40HTT	5.0	0.7	300	320	281
Si-60HTT	4.0	0.8	200	250	344
Si-100HTT	4.0	0.75	180	270	323

The free surface energy γ_s is maximal for Si-60, initial or HTT. This result can be caused by several reasons, as the free surface energy at the silica/water interfaces depends on both the nature (e.g., concentration of silanols, charge density) and the topography of the surfaces. HTT for all samples leads to increase in γ_s but by different magnitudes and $\Delta\gamma_s$ (changes in γ_s due to HTT) is maximal (236 mJ/m²) for Si-100HTT (Table 3). Additionally, HTT results in a marked enhancement of ΔG^s , which characterizes the free energy of the first monolayer of adsorbed water. This value for HTT samples is significantly greater than that for some other materials investigated previously, which can be due to a substantial increase in the concentration of silanols ($\equiv\text{Si-O-Si}\equiv + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \equiv\text{SiOH} + \text{HOSi}\equiv$) (concentration of silanols for different silicas in air can alter by several times [65]), capable to form strong hydrogen bonds with water molecules from the first layer at the larger number of such bonds per a molecule; i.e., the number of strongly bound water molecules in the first layer increases for HTT samples. However, for initial silica gels, the opposite result is observed. Notice that the $F(x)$ function correlates with increasing pore size for HTT samples (Fig. 9d), but such a correlation is absent for initial silica gels (Fig. 9c). A similar effect is seen for the derivatives $-d(\Delta G)/dC_{uw}$ versus x (Figs. 9e and 9f). The $-d(\Delta G)/dC_{uw}$ value is the analog of the chemical potential for isobaric freezing of water. Maxima of the dependence of $-d(\Delta G)/dC_{uw}$ on x correspond to the distances from the silica surfaces characterizing more stable complexes (clusters) of the interfacial water. The position of these maxima shifts toward larger x with increasing pore size for both initial and HTT silicas (for HTT samples, the x values were computed in a model of a flat surface). These effects can be explained by the significant differences in the unfrozen water layers dependent on the pore size. It should be noted that for Si-40, the $-d(\Delta G)/dC_{uw}$ maximum corresponds to a water monolayer, but for Si-60 and Si-40HTT, this is 3-4 monolayers, and for Si-60-HTT and Si-100-HTT, it is five-six water monolayers (Fig. 9).

V.2. Influence of pyrocarbon on the adsorbed water layer structure

Silica gel Si-60 (Schuchardt München) (SG) was used to prepare carbon-mineral adsorbents by the pyrolysis of CH_2Cl_2 in a stainless steel autoclave (0.3 L) at 823K for 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 h corresponding to different CS-*i* carbosils with various amounts of pyrocarbon C_C (Table 4) (synthesis of these samples was described in details elsewhere [66]). SG550 is Si-60 heated at 550°C for 24 h.

Table 4. Structural and adsorption characteristics of adsorbents

Adsorbent	S [m ² /g]	Carbon Content [%]	Carbonization time [h]	$V_{p,des}$ [cm ³ /g]	$R_{p,des}$ [nm]
SG	372	0	0	0.80	4.3
SG550	344	0	0	0.75	4.4
CS-1	366	0.77	0.5	0.74	4.0
CS-2	339	4.37	1	0.67	4.0
CS-3	299	14.89	2	0.56	3.8
CS-4	259	20.32	3	0.47	3.6
CS-5	223	26.7	4	0.39	3.5
CS-6	163	35.0	6	0.28	3.4

Table 5. Characteristics of water adsorption layers from the ¹H NMR data on the surface of mesoporous carbosils

Adsorbent	ΔG^s (kJ/mol)	C_{uw}^s (mg/g)	ΔG^w (kJ/mol)	C_{uw}^w (kJ/mol)	γ_s (mJ/m ²)
SG	2.8	330	1	520	115
SG550	3.5	270	1.2	730	150
CS1	3.0	320	1.5	230	110
CS2	3.2	375	2.2	155	132
CS3	4.0	175	1.7	225	109
CS4	3.0	150	1.4	125	72
CS5	3.5	220	1.2	250	139
CS6	3.7	300	1.8	100	240

The characteristics of the water layers adsorbed on the carbosil and initial silica gel surfaces determined on the basis of dependences $\Delta G(C_{uw})$ are presented in Fig. 10. Thickness of the bonded water layer (C_{uw}^w) for the weakly bonded water and C_{uw}^s for the strongly bonded water) as well as free surface energy (γ_s) compared in Table 5.

It should be stressed that for porous materials, the amount of adsorbed (unfrozen) water cannot be much greater than the total volume of the adsorbent pores. Therefore, during a decrease in the total pore volume with increasing pyrolysis time, which results in formation of pyrocarbon deposits in the pores and on the outer surfaces of silica gel particles, there is a tendency to decrease the adsorbed water concentration while passing from SG samples to carbosils. If effectiveness of carbonization is small, then C_{uw}^w and ΔG^w can be determined quite adequately, but in case of other samples, they have a form of approximation. Appearance of inflections on the $\Delta G(C_{uw})$ graphs can be caused by the heterogeneity of the adsorbent surfaces and limitation of the pore volume.

Hydration properties of the adsorbent surfaces at the adsorbent/water interface can be expressed the most completely through the surface free energy. It follows from the data in Table 5, that γ_s increases as a result of silica gel thermally treatment from 115 mJ/m² to 150 mJ/m². The initial stage of silica surface carbonization causes a decrease in the free surface energy whereby γ_s decreases to 72 mJ/m² for CS-4. It is in agreement with the statement that the surface hydration properties are determined by the concentration of surface silanols, which can form the strong hydrogen bonds with water molecules. Adsorption sites for water on pyrocarbon deposits are oxidized carbon atoms, whose concentration is much smaller

$R_{p,des}$ [nm]
4.3
4.4
4.0
4.0
3.8
3.6
3.5
3.4

surface of

γ_s (mJ/m ²)
115
150
110
132
109
72
139
240

ge surfaces
ness of the
bonded

(unfrozen)
during
formation
there is a
samples to
determined
formation.
of the

erface can
data in
to 150
surface
that
anols,
water
smaller

than that of SiOH groups on the silica surface; therefore carbon deposits should weaken the hydrophilic properties of the hybrid adsorbents. However, it is stated that there is an increase in the adsorbent hydrophilic properties for the samples CS-5 and CS-6, which is evident in the increase of the values γ_s and C_{uw}^s and for CS-6, γ_s is even higher than that for the initial silica.




Fig. 10. Changes in the Gibbs free energy of the interfacial water in the aqueous suspensions of initial silica gel and mesoporous carbosiles and radial function of adhesion forces for this adsorbents.

VI. Microporous materials

VI.1. Activated carbons

Carbon adsorbent PS-0 produced utilizing plum stones (Wood Dry Distillation Works, Hajnówka, Poland) was used as the initial material. PS-0 was subjected to hydrothermal modification (HTM) in a stainless steel autoclave (0.3 L). To prepare oxidized adsorbents PS-OX1 and PS-OX2, 5 g of PS-0 was placed in a quartz thimble to the autoclave with 20 mL of 30 % H₂O₂ and treated in the vapor phase at 523 K (PS-OX1) or 623 K (PS-OX2) for 6 h. A reduced adsorbent (PS-H) was prepared on heating of PS-0 in a H₂ stream in a quartz flow reactor at 1073 K for 8 h [67].




Fig. 11. Changes in the Gibbs free energy of the interfacial water in the aqueous suspensions of plume stones

Fig. 11 shows variations in the free Gibbs energy as a function of the concentration of unfrozen water in the aqueous suspensions of the initial (curve 1), reduced (curve 2), and oxidized carbons (curves 3 and 4). The $\Delta G(C_{uw})$ graphs exhibit the portions of the unfrozen water, which remain near constant over a wide ΔG range. This effect can be caused by water adsorption in micropores, as according to the theory of volume filling of pores [64], the free energy of water in micropores does not practically depend on its localization there. Adsorbed water can be frozen if its free energy becomes equal to that of ice at a given temperature. If such a temperature has not been reached, freezing of water does not occur, and the unfrozen water concentration remains practically unchanged. The portion of the graph of ΔG as a function of C_{uw} to the left of the vertical line (Fig. 12) corresponds to freezing of water in micropores; and that to the right is associated with water in larger pores or weakly bound water on the outer surface of the adsorbent particles. The points at the abscissa corresponding to the vertical lines determine the concentration of water adsorbed in micropores (Table 6, C_{uw}^{mp}).

As is seen from the data in Table 6, the free surface energy (γ_s) and content of unfrozen water (C_{uw}^{max}) decrease for PS-H. It is in agreement with the notion that such a treatment results in a decrease in the surface concentration of oxidized groups, which can form hydrogen-bonded clusters of water molecules. Additionally, for PS-H, a sharp decrease in the concentration of water adsorbed in micropores is seen. This effect can be explained by lowering of the accessibility of micropores for water molecules due to increase in the

Table 6. Characteristics of the interfacial water layers in the frozen aqueous suspensions of carbons

Adsorbent	ΔG_{max} kJ/mol	C_{uw}^{max} mg/g	C_{uw}^{mp} mg/g	γ_s mJ/m ²	S_{BET} M ² /g
PS-0	4.2	810	380	58	1174
PS-H	4	500	190	33	1184
PS-OX1	4	700	400	63	1162
PS-OX2	4	1200	650	112	1201

hydrophobic properties of the adsorbent surfaces reduced by H₂. When the carbon surfaces are treated with hydrogen peroxide (PS-OX1, PS-OX2), an increase in the bound water concentration is observed in comparison with PS-0 due to formation of additional polar groups, which can form hydrogen-bonded complexes with water molecules in micropores and on the outer surface of adsorbent particles.

VI.2. Adsorbed water in zeolites

Zeolite samples [68,69] were synthesized according to the technique described elsewhere [70] on the basis of fumed alumina-silicas. Such a procedure allowed us to simplify the synthesis of zeolites while alumina-silica served as a source for formation of the both oxides in the zeolite crystal lattice.

The zeolites were synthesized using alumina-silica with molar ratios SiO₂/Al₂O₃ equal to 131, 100, 26 and 19.5 for preparation of samples 1 - 4 (Table 7), respectively. The template was tetrapropylammonium bromide. In order to prepare a reaction mixture, sodium hydroxide and template were dissolved in distilled water; then a suitable amount of alumina-silica was added to this solution mixed. In terms of oxides the component ratios in the reaction mixture were as follows: Na₂/SiO₂ = 0.05, [(C₃H₇)₄N]₂O/SiO₂ = 0.04, H₂O/Na₂O = 100.

A X-ray diffraction study was made with a DRON-UMI (LOMO) diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu K α radiation. The comparison of the XRD patterns of the prepared samples with the literature data on ZSM-5 [71] shows that these samples correspond to ZSM-5, whose degrees of crystallinity were 94 - 96 %. The specific surface area S was measured by the method of thermal desorption of argon; the pore volume V_p was determined using methanol adsorption isotherms. The values obtained are listed in Table 7.

Table 7. Structural characteristics of zeolites

Sample	C _{Al2O3} wt%	S m ² g ⁻¹	V_p cm ³ g ⁻¹
1	1.96	515	0.26
2	3.15	482	0.23
3	7.38	475	0.26
4	8.95	450	-

As follows from the data listed in Table 8, the concentration of water adsorbed in zeolite pores and the free surface energy of adsorbents (with the exception of the sample containing 3.15 wt. % of Al_2O_3) decrease with the aluminum content. At the same time, the maximum decrease in the free energy in a layer of bound water is practically the same for all the studied zeolites and about twice as large as the corresponding value for silicalite. Under these conditions, the total adsorbent pore volume is filled by water only for a sample at $C_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3} = 1.96$ wt.%. The observed regularity disagrees with the data presented in [72] for adsorption of water vapors in zeolites differing in the concentration of Al in their crystal lattice. The cause of the observed features may be two main factors, namely change in the structure of water polyassociates with increasing concentration of Al and variation of conditions for the competing sorption of water and gases in air. Really, in the situation of a high degree of hydration of the surfaces, water not only interacts directly with primary adsorption sites but also forms large clusters near Brönsted acid sites [73]. However, the high acidity is characteristic only of a relatively small portion of surface hydroxyl groups, which is evidenced for by the data of calorimetric titration [74]. With increasing concentration of aluminum, the content of such active OH groups decreases, and, as a consequence, one may also observe a decrease in the sizes of clusters of water molecules adsorbed on the surface. Thus, despite the increase in the concentration of primary sites for water adsorption, the enhancement of the concentration of aluminum can be accompanied with a reduction of the degree of hydration of the zeolite surfaces.

Table 8. Characteristics of interfacial water layers in aqueous suspensions of zeolites

$C_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}$ wt%	C_{max} mg g^{-1}	ΔG^{max} kJ mol^{-1}			γ_s mJ m^{-2}
		Water	Air	CDCl_3	
0*	55	3.2	-	-	5
1.96	250	6.1	4.8	3.0	37
3.15	80	6.1	5.5	2.3	6
7.38	120	6.0	-	6.0	26
8.95	85	6.2	5.0	4.0	19

If adsorption of water is affected in air, there may appear a second factor that affects the dependence of the degree of hydration of the surface on the aluminum content in a sample. Owing to the presence of air, the zeolite surface can adsorb simultaneously not only water but also molecules of nitrogen and oxygen that are not able to form hydrogen-bonded complexes with surface hydroxyl groups and are adsorbed predominantly on more hydrophobic portions of the surface. With increasing sizes of clusters of water adsorbed on the surface, some portion of the adsorbed gases must be removed from the surface. Then the value of adsorption of water should depend on the relationship between the free energy of formation of water clusters and that of desorption of gas molecules from the surface. In this situation, the formation of water clusters on hydrophilic sites of the surface may be energetically less favorable than adsorption of gas molecules on hydrophobic portions of this surface. As the content of aluminum in the zeolite increases, the number of primary sites for adsorption of water increases too, but the water clusters formed nearby these sites make contact predominantly with hydrophobic portions of the surface created by siloxane bridges. As a result, one can observe an effect that manifests itself in a decrease in the free surface energy of zeolites with increasing content of aluminum in their lattice.

VII. Conclusions

The free surface energy of unmodified and modified oxides in the aqueous medium may vary in the range of 100-1100 mJ/m² depending on the nature of the adsorbents, and the thickness of the interfacial water disturbed by the surfaces ranges from 3 to 45 statistical monolayers. This is connected with the fact that in aqueous medium a high contribution of polarization and structural components to measured values of surface energy is observed. The magnitude of these components depends not only on the morphology and chemical structure of adsorbent surfaces but also on the structure of inter-particle aggregates as well as on concentration of a solid phase in the aqueous suspension. For this reason for the same material, the thickness of the layer of adsorbed water may vary depending on conditions of preparation of the adsorbent in a wide range. The increase in the thickness of layer of surface-structurized water leads to a decrease in the adsorption ability of the adsorbent.

Maximum values of the free surface energy are observed for samples characterized by the heterogeneous surfaces (carbosil having a small amount of pyrocarbon deposits, the mixture of silica with methylsilica, amorphous silica previously wetted). Specially separated fragments of the surfaces of the above adsorbents are characterized by different values of dissociation constants of the surface hydroxyl groups and by different affinities to protons. At the same time a polarization of the adsorbent surface takes place. It can be assumed that the thickness of the layer of adsorbed water is strongly affected by the surface charge distribution nonuniformity connected with appearance of oppositely charged fragments, and the field created by these fragments influences the orientation of dipoles of water molecules. The appearance of surface charges is also possible in the zones of interparticle contacts. For this reason in the case of low dispersion materials, the values of the free surface energy and layer thickness of adsorbed water in the aqueous suspensions are not determined by hydrophilic-hydrophobic properties of these materials but by capability of the surface to polarization.

The maximum layer thickness for water adsorbed in porous adsorbents cannot substantially exceed their pore radius. Therefore, when the action radius for surface forces is smaller than the pore radius, the layer thickness for water adsorbed on the surface is governed mainly by the surface concentration of hydrophilic sites. If the surface potentials of the opposite walls of a pore are overlapped, all the water filling the pore is bound to the surfaces. The freezing temperature for water in such a pore is governed by the pore sizes and force of interaction between the surface and water. The free surface energy value measured for such adsorbents determines the intensity of interactions between water molecules and pore surface. In the case of chemically modified carbonaceous adsorbents the comparison between values of their free surface energies in an aqueous medium makes it possible to obtain valuable information on the constitution of their surface.

References

1. Kinney, D.R., Chaung I-S., Maciel G.E. Water and the Silica Surface As Studied by Variable Temperature High Resolution ¹H NMR // J. Am. Chem. Soc. - 1993. - V. 115, N 15. - P. 6786-6794.
2. Chung I-S., Maciel G.E. Probing Hydrogen Bonding at the Local Environment of Silanol Surfaces via Nuclear Spin Cross Polarization Dynamics // J. Am. Chem. Soc. - 1996. - V. 118, N 2. - P. 401-406.
3. Chang I-S., Kinney D.R., Maciel G.E. Interior Hydroxyl of the Silica Gel System as Studied by ²⁹Si CP-MAS NMR Spectroscopy // J. Am. Chem. Soc. - 1993. V 115, N 19. - P. 8695-8705.

4. Study of Constitutive Superficial Water of Precipitated Amorphous Silicas using ^1H NMR. Broad-Line at 4K and MAS at 300 K / C. Doremieux-Morin, L. Heeribout, C. Domousseaux, J. Fraissard, H. Hommel, A.P. Legrand // *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* – 1996. – V. 118, N 6. – P. 1304-1345.
5. Flocculation of Colloidal Silica with Hydrolyzed Aluminium: An ^{27}Al Solid State NMR Investigation / B.S. Latrignes, J.Y. Bottero, L.S. Derendinger, B. Humbert, P. Tekely, H. Suty // *Langmuir* – 1997. – V. 13, N 1. – P. 147-152.
6. Magnetic Resonance Studies on Porous Alumina Doped with Iron and Chromium / S. Simon, A. Van der Pol, Ed.J. Reijerse, A.P.M. Kentgens, G-J. van Moorsel, E.de Boer // *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* – 1995. – V. 91, N 10. – P. 1519-1522.
7. Su M.Y., Nalcioglu O. Spin-Lattice and Spin-Spin Relaxation in Porous Media: A Generalized Two Site Relaxation Model // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* – 1993. – V. 160, N 5. – P. 332-337.
8. Vashman A.A., Pronin I.S. Nuclear Magnetic Relaxation Spectroscopy. Moscow: Energoatomizdat. 1986, 180 p.
9. NMR and Neutron Scattering Investigation of Undercooled Aqueous Solutions of Apolar Solutes / S. Bradl, E.W. Lang, J.Z. Turner, A.K. Soper // *J. Phys. Chem.* – 1994. – V. 98, N 23. – P. 8161-8168.
10. Application of the Thermal Frequency Response Method and of Pulsed Field Gradient NMR to Study Water Diffusion in Zeolite NaX / V. Bourdin, A. Germanus, P. Grenier, J. Karger // *Adsorption*. – 1996. – V. 2, N 2. – P. 205-216.
11. PFG NMR Self-Diffusion Study of n-Paraffins Adsorbed on Silica Gel / U. Rolle-Kampezyk, J. Karger, J. Caro, M. Noack, P. Klubes, B. Rohl-Kuhn // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* – 1993. – V. 159, N 2. – P. 366-371.
12. Delville A., Letellier M. Structure and Dynamics of Simple Liquids in Heterogeneous Condition: An NMR Study of the Clay-Water Interface // *Langmuir*. – 1995. – V. 11, N 4. – P. 1361-1367.
13. Derjaguin B.V., Churaev N.V., V.M. Muller, Surface Forces. New York: Consultants Bureau, 1987. – 256 p.
14. Lucham P.F., de L. Costello B.A. Recent Developments in the Measurement of Interparticle Forces // *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* – 1993. – V. 44, N 2. – P. 183-240.
15. Rudzinski W., Harnas R. Effects of Surface Heterogeneity of Oxides in Simple Ion Adsorption at Oxide/Electrolyte Interface // *Adsorption*. – 1996. – V. 2, N 3. – P. 245-255.
16. Meagher L., Pashley R.M. The Interaction Forces between Silica and Plasma-Treated Polypropylene Surfaces in Aqueous Solutions // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* – 1997. – V. 185, N 2. – P. 291-296.
17. Zilberbrand M. A Nonelectrical mechanism of Ion Exclusion in Thin Water Film in Finely dispersed media // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* – 1997. – V. 192, N 8. – P. 471-474.
18. Dukhin S.S. Non-Equilibrium Electric Surface Phenomena // *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* – 1993. – V. 44, N 1. – P. 1-134.
19. Van Oss C.J. Interfacial Forces in Aqueous Media, Marcel Dekker: New York, 1994. 420 p.
20. Woodward J.T., Gwin H., Schwartz D.K. Contact angles on Surface with Mesoscopic Chemical Heterogeneity // *Langmuir*. 2000 – V. 16, N 6. – P. 2957-2961.
21. Drummond C.J., Chan Y.C. Van der Waals Interaction, Surface Free Energies, and Contact Angles: Dispersive Polymers and Liquids // *Langmuir* – 1997. V. 13. – P. 3890-3895.
22. Van Giessen A.E., Bukman D.J., Widom B. Contact Angles of Liquid Drops on Low Energy Solid Surfaces // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* – 1997. – V. 192, N 3. – P. 257-265.

23. Duncan D., Li D., Gayados J., Newmann A.W. Correlation of Line Tension and Solid-Liquid Interfacial Tension from the Measurement of Drop Size Dependence of Contact Angles // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1995. - V. 169, N8. - P. 256-261.
24. Voelkel A. Inverse Gas Chromatography: Characterisation of Polymers, Fibers, Modified Silicas, and Surfactants // *Critical Rev. Analytical Chem.* - 1991. V. 22, N5. - P. 411-439.
25. Park S.-J. Brendle M. London Dispersive Component of the Surface Free Energy and Surface Enthalpy // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* - 1997. - V. 188, N3. - P. 336-339.
26. Bogillo V.V., Turov V.V., Voelkel A. Surface properties of Rutile and its Modified Form - Part 1. Inverse Gas Chromatography // *J. Adhesion Sci. Technol.* - 1997. - V. 11, N12. - P. 1513-1529.
27. Hillig W.B. Measurement of Interface Free Energy for Ice/Water System // *J. Crystal Growth.* - 1998. - V. 183, N 3. - P. 463-468.
28. Tabony J. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies of Molecules Physisorbed on Homogeneous Surface // *Progr. NMR Spectr.* - 1980. - V. 14, N 1. - P. 1-26.
29. Gorbunov B.Z., Lazareva L.S. Phase Transfer of Water in Adsorption Layers // *Kolloid. Zh.* - 1989. - T. 51, N 6. - C. 1062-1068.
30. Bogdan A., Kulmala M., Gorbunov B., Kruppa A. NMR Study of Phase Transition in Pure Water and Binary H_2O/HNO_3 Films Adsorbed on Surface of Pyrogenic Silica // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* - 1996. - V. 177, N 1. - P. 79-88.
31. Bartell L.S. Nucleation Rates in Freezing and Solid-State Transition. Molecular Clusters and Model Systems // *J. Phys. Chem.* - 1995. - V. 99, N 4. - P. 1080-1089.
32. Yazykina I.V., Kvildidze V.I., Krasnushkina A.V. About the Thickness of Nonsolvent Layer of Water at Hydrophilic Surface // *Kolloid. Zh.* - 1987. - T. 49, N 6. - C. 1188-1194.
33. Impact of the Phase Boundary on Ice Melting in Heterogeneous Systems / V.I. Kvildidze, A.A. Adonkin, A.V. Krasnushkina, A.B. Kurzaev // *Bound Water in Dispersion Systems.* No 3. - Moscow: MSU, 1974, 155 p.
34. Kashchiev D., Van Rosmalen G.M. Effect of Pressure on Nucleation in Bulk Solutions in Pores and Droplets // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* - 1995. - V. 169, N 3. - P. 214-219.
35. Study of Interfacial Water Layers by Means of IR Spectroscopy of Internal Reflection / Ya. Rabinovich, B.V. Derjaguin, M.E. Yudovich, E.I. Kochetkova, I.P. Sokolova // *Dokl. AN USSR.* - 1990. - T. 311, № 4. - C. 905-908.
36. Derjaguin B.V., Churaev N.V. Properties and Kinetics of Moisture in Porous Substances / In: *Water in Dispersion Systems* (B.V. Derjaguin, F.D. Ovcharenko, N.V. Churaev, Eds.), Moscow: Khimiya, 1989, 286 p.
37. Hansen E.W.; Stocker, M.; Schmidt, R. Low Temperature Phase Transition of Water Confined in Micropores Probed by NMR. Influence on Pore Size Distribution // *J. Phys. Chem.* - 1996. - V. 100, N 16. - P. 2195-2200.
38. Dielectric Properties and Dynamic Simulation of Water Bound to Titania/Silica Interface / V.M. Gun'ko, V.I. Zarko, V.V. Turov, E.V. Voronin, V.A. Tischenko, A.A. Chuiko // *Langmuir.* - 1995. - V. 11, N6. - P. 2115-2120.
39. Turov V. V., Bogillo V. I. and Leboda R. Surface Properties of Carbon Black, Carbosil and Silicas as Studied by 1H NMR Spectroscopy and Inverse Gas Chromatography // *Extended Abstracts of EUROFILLERS'95*, Sept. 11-14, - 1995. - Mulhouse, France, P. 131-134.
40. Adsorption of Water on the Surface of Mesoporous Silicas and Carbosils as Examined by 1H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy / V.V. Turov, R. Leboda, V.I. Bogillo, J. Skubiszewska-Zieba // *Proceedings of Second International Symposium "Effects of*

- Surface Heterogeneity in Adsorption and Catalysis on Solids, Poland - Slovakia, September 4-10, - 1995.-P. 99-101.
41. Turov V.V., Zarko V.I., Chuiko A.A. Cross-relaxation Times of Water Adsorbed on Highly Disperse Fumed Oxides of Silicon, Titanium, and Titanosilica // Ukr. Khim. Zh. - 1995. - T. 69, N 12. - C. 1262-1265.
 42. V. P. Glushko (Ed.), Handbook of Thermodynamic Properties of Individual Substances, Nauka, Moscow, 1978.
 43. Abragam, A. The Principles of Nuclear Magnetism; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 1961. - 480 P.
 44. Frolov Yu. G. Course of Colloid Chemistry. Surface Phenomena and Dispersion Systems. Moscow: Khimiya. 1982, 400 p.
 45. Churaev N.V. On Forces of Hydrophobic Attraction in Wetting Films of Aqueous Solutions // Kolloid. Zh. - 1992 V. 54, N5. - P. 169-174.
 46. Attard P., Ursenbach C.P., Patay G.N., Long-Range Attraction between solution // Near-Critical Fluids. Phys. Rev - 1992. V. 45. - P. 7621-7623.
 47. Attard P., Parker J.L. Oscillatory Solvation Forces: a Comparison of Theory and Experiment // J. Phys. Chem. - 1992. - V. 96, N12. - P. 5086-5093.
 48. Luchom P.F., Costello B.A.D. Recent Development in the Measurement of Interparticle Forces // Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. - 1993. - V. 44 - P. 183-240.
 49. Protein Interaction at Solid Surface / P.M. Claesson, E. Blomberg, J.C. Froberg, T. Nylander, T. Arnebrant // Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. - 1995. - V. 57, N 2. - P. 161-227.
 50. Turov V.V.; Barvinchuk, V.N. Structurally Ordered Layers of Water at the SiO₂/Ice Interface and Influence of Adsorbed Molecules of Protein Hydrolysate on them // Colloids Surf. B. - 1997. V. 8, N2. - P. 125-132.
 51. Turov V.V., Leboda R. Application of ¹H NMR Spectroscopy Method for Determination of Characteristics of Thin Layers of Water Adsorbed on the Surface of Dispersed and Porous Adsorbents // Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. - 1999. V. 79 - P. 173-211.
 52. Turov V.V., Bogillo V.I., Chuiko A.A. Water State in Interfacial Layers of Dispersed Silica in Frozen Aqueous Suspensions // Ukr. Khim. Zh. - 1993. V. 59, N6. - P. 580-583.
 53. Turov V.V., Chuiko A.A., Skiba V.V. Features of Hydration of Fumed Silica Particles with the Presence of Dimethylsulfoxide // Ukr. Khim. Zh. - 1993. V. 59, N8. - P. 804-810.
 54. Turov V.V., Chuiko A.A., Skiba V.V. The influence of mixing of aqueous Aerosil suspensions on disperse composition by sedimentation analyses // Ukr. Khim. Zh. - 1994. - V. 60, N11. - P. 156-160.
 55. Interaction between Mika Surface in the Presence of Carbohydrates / P.M. Claesson, H.K. Christenson, J.M. Ber., R.D. Neuman // J. Colloid Interface Sci. - 1995. V. 172 - P. 415-424.
 56. Spalla O., Kekichiff P., Adhesion between Oxide Nanoparticles: Influence of Surface Complexation // J. Colloid Interface Sci. - 1997. V. 192. - P. 43-65.
 57. Atkins D., Kekichiff P., Spalla O. Adhesion between Colloidal Silica as Seen with Direct Force Measurement // J. Colloid Interface Sci. - 1997. V. 188. P. 234-237.
 58. Turov V.V., Mironiuk I.F. Adsorption layers of water on the surface of hydrophilic, hydrophobic and mixed silicas // Colloids Surf. A. - 1998. V. 134, N2. - P. 257-263.
 59. Active Sites Nature of Pyrogenic Alumina/Silica and Water Bound to Surfaces / V.M. Gun'ko, V.V. Turov, V.I. Zarko, E.F. Voronin, V.A. Tischenko, V.V. Dudnik, E.M. Pakhlov, A.A. Chuiko // Langmuir. - 1997. - V. 13, N 6. - P. 1529-1544.

60. Effect of carbon deposition on the surface of Aerosil on the structure of adsorption sites and water and benzene interlayers - ^1H NMR spectroscopy study / V.V. Turov, R. Leboda, V.I. Bogillo, J. Skubiszewska-Zieba // *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* - 1997 - V 93, N 22. - P. 4047-4053.
61. CVD-Titania on Fumed Silica Substrate / V.M. Gun'ko, V.I. Zarko, V.V. Turov, R. Leboda, E. Chibowski, L. Holysz, E.M. Pachlov, E.F. Voronin, V.V. Dudnik, Yu.I. Gornikov // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* - 1998. - V. 198, N 1. - P. 141-146.
62. Characterisation of Fumed Alumina/Silica/Titania in the Gas Phase and in Aqueous Suspension / V.M. Gun'ko, V.I. Zarko, V.V. Turov, R. Leboda, E. Chibowski, E.M. Pakhlov, E.V. Goncharuk, M. Marciniak, E.F. Voronin, A.A. Chuiko // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* - 1999. - V. 220, N 2. - P. 302-323.
63. Structure of Silica Gel Si-60 and Pyrocarbon/Silica Gel Adsorbents Thermally and Hydrothermally Treated / V.M. Gun'ko, R. Leboda, J. Skubiszewska-Zieba, V.V. Turov and P. Kowalczyk // *Langmuir* (in press).
64. Dubinin, M.M., in *Progress in Surface and Membrane Science*, Cadenhead, D.A. (Ed.), Vol. 9, Academic Press, New York, 1975, p. 1.
65. Legrand A.P. (Ed.) *The Surface Properties of Silicas* Wiley: New York, 1998.
66. Surface Properties of mesoporous Carbon-Silica Gel Adsorbents / R. Leboda, V.V. Turov, B. Charnas, J. Skubiszewska-Zieba, V.M. Gun'ko // *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* - 2000. - V. 223. - P. 112-125.
67. On the Surface Properties of Plum Stone Activated Carbons: Adsorption of Water and Organic Substances Examined by ^1H NMR Spectroscopy / R. Leboda, V.V. Turov, W. Tomaszewski, V.M. Gun'ko, J. Skubiszewska-Zieba // *Carbon* - (in press)
68. ^1H NMR Studies of the Adsorption of Water on Silicalite / V.V. Turov, V.V. Brei, K.N. Khomenko, R. Leboda // *Microscopic and Mesoporous Materials* // - 1998 V. 23, N3-4 - P. 189-196.
69. Influence of Aluminum Concentration on Hydration of Zeolites of the ZSM-5 Type in Water Suspensions / V.V. Turov, V.V. Brei, K.N. Khomenko, R. Leboda // *Adsorption Science and Technology* - 2000 - V. 18, N1 - P. 75-82
70. Grose, R.W., Flanigen, E.M. US Pat. 4 061 724 (1977).
71. Argauer, R.J., Landolt, G.R. US Pat. 3 702 886 (1972)
72. Tsutsumi K., Mizoe K. Heats of Adsorption of Water on Hydrophobic Zeolites // *Proceeding of International Symposium on Adsorption*, Kyoto, Japan, June 13-25, 1988, 75.
73. Interaction of Pyridine with Acidic (H-ZSM5, H- β , H-MORD Zeolites) and Superacidic (H-Nafion Membrane) Systems: An IR Investigation / R. Buzzoni, S. Bordiga, G. Ricchiardi, C. Lambert, A. Zecchina // *Langmuir* - 1996. - V. 12, N 4 - P. 930-940.
74. Calorimetric and Spectroscopic Investigation of the Acidity of HZSM-5 / R.S. Drago, S.C. Dias, M. Tottealba, L. de-Lima // *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* - 1977. - V. 119, N 19. - P. 4444-4452.